

**Planning Commissioner's Written Comments  
December 11, 2012**

**Montclair (Z1200013)**

**Ms. Board** – My mixed vote is to indicate that, were the comprehensive plan change was not needed, then I would support this project. I am not concerned that the parcels are not contiguous. In this area filled with wetlands and churches and spread between Durham and Chapel Hill just down the road, this requirement is challenging. This use is consistent with other nearby projects and recent development.

**Mr. Davis** – I vote approval.

**Mr. Gibbs** – Voted to not approve.

**Mr. Harris** – Voted no.

**Mr. Jones** – I vote to approve.

**Mr. Martin** – Yes, with final approval by City Council, after developer and Church as agreed to a final common plan on future land use by the Church.

**Ms. Mitchell-Allen** – I voted to approve.

**Mr. Padgett** – nothing.

**Ms. Smudski** – See A1200005

**Mr. Whitley** – I voted to approve.

**Mr. Winders** – I voted to recommend approval. Request for density of 2.87 seems reasonable.